

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CORDIS CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. No. 97-550-SLR
)	(Consolidated)
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION)	
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION)	
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. No. 98-019-SLR
)	
ETHICON, INC., CORDIS)	
CORPORATION and JOHNSON &)	
JOHNSON INTERVENTIONAL)	
SYSTEMS COMPANY,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
<hr/>		

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

Infringement

Has Cordis shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Boston Scientific's NIR stent infringes the limitation of claim 23 of the '762 patent requiring that the wall of a tubular member have a substantially uniform thickness? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Cordis. A "NO" answer is a finding for Boston Scientific.)

YES ☒ NO ☐

Invalidity

Do you find that Boston Scientific has shown by clear and convincing evidence that claim 23 of the '762 patent is invalid due to obviousness? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Boston Scientific. A "NO" answer is a finding for Cordis.)

YES ☐ NO ☒

You must sign this Verdict Form.

Dated: March 24, 2005

Raymond M. Martin
FOREPERSON

Angela Chappon

Joan E. Sloan

Mary Kuzgetts

Dirk H. Hlysteen

P. Orul

Stefan Wooters

[Signature]